

AGAIN ON THE NON-EXISTENCE OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES AND OF BLACK HOLES

ANGELO LOINGER

ABSTRACT. Very straightforward arguments proving the physical non-existence of GW's and of BH's. They are so simple that even the members of the Wheelerian establishment will understand them.

An obvious premise: in (the exact, i.e. non-approximate [1]) general relativity (GR) only the concepts and the results that are independent of the choice of the system of **general** co-ordinates have a physical meaning.

i) Let us consider any solution of the Einstein field equations of GR which has – in a given co-ordinate system – a definite undulatory character, i.e. that represents a gravitational wave (GW). Now, through a *finite* sequence of co-ordinate transformations, endowed with suitable undulating properties, the primary wave character of our solution can be fully *obliterated*. Thus, this character has no *physical* meaning, it is only a mathematical property of the original co-ordinate system. *Q.e.d.* –

i') A first proof of the physical non-existence of the GW's was given in 1917 by Tullio Levi-Civita [2]. Other proofs have been published in recent years by the present writer [3].

ii) As it was remarked by Eddington [4], the solution of the problem of the Einsteinian gravitational field generated by a point mass M (at rest) is given – if r, ϑ, φ are spherical polar co-ordinates – by the following spacetime interval:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = \left[1 - \frac{2m}{f(r)}\right] c^2 dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2m}{f(r)}\right]^{-1} [df(r)]^2 - [f(r)]^2 [d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2],$$

where $m \equiv GM/c^2$, and G is the gravitational constant; $f(r)$ is *any* regular function of r .

Now, the invention of the black holes (BH's) is due to a (mis)interpretation of that form of solution (1) for which $f(r) \equiv r$: it is the so-called *standard* solution, erroneously named “Schwarzschild solution”. In reality, Karl Schwarzschild chose $f(r) \equiv [r^3 + (2m)^3]^{1/3}$, see [5]; this *original* Schwarzschildian form is singular only at the spatial point $r = 0$. Thus, the notion of BH does *not* have a physical meaning, it is only a (fictive) by-product of a very particular choice of the function $f(r)$. *Q.e.d.* –

In course of publication on *Spacetime & Substance*.

APPENDIX

All the (erroneous) *loci communes* concerning the GW's and the BH's are respectively illustrated in the review articles by Schutz [6] and by Celotti *et alii* [7].

"Truth is aristocratic".

Howleglas

REFERENCES

- [1] Original and acute considerations about the full inadequacy of the approximate *linearized* version of GR to the question of the GW's have been developed by H. Weyl, *Amer. J. Math.*, **66**(1944) 591.
- [2] T. Levi-Civita, *Rend. Acc. Lincei*, **26** (1917) 381; an English translation in *arXiv:physics/9906004* (June 2nd, 1999). See also: Idem, *Rend. Acc. Lincei*, **11** (s.6a) (1930) 3 and 113.
- [3] A. Loinger, *Nuovo Cimento B*, **115** (2000) 679; Idem, *Spacetime & Substance*, **3** (2002) 129; Idem, *ibidem* **3** (2002) 145; Idem, *On Black Holes and Gravitational Waves*, (La Goliardica Pavese, Pavia) 2002, Part II.
- [4] A. S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, Second Edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 1960, p.94. See also: A. Loinger, *Spacetime & Substance*, **3** (2002) 145; Idem, *On Black Holes and Gravitational Waves*, (La Goliardica Pavese, Pavia) 2002, Part I.
- [5] K. Schwarzschild, *Berl. Ber.*, (1916) 189; an English translation in *arXiv:physics/9905030* (May 12th, 1999). See also: M. Brillouin, *Journ. Phys. Rad.*, **23** (1923) 43; an English translation in *arXiv:physics/0002009* (February 3rd, 2000); K. Schwarzschild, *Berl. Ber.*, (1916) 424; an English translation in *arXiv:physics/9912033* (December 16th, 1999).
- [6] B.F.Schutz, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **16** (1999), A131;
- [7] A. Celotti, J.C. Miller and D.W. Sciamia, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **16** (1999) A3.

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA, 16 - 20133 MILANO (ITALY)

E-mail address: `angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it`